



Figure 8: Supporting Evidence for fold scheme.


K4 South working is clearly the right shape to be a Type II fold interference pattern with F2 striking NNW and Gradient Array Inversion of IP over the K4S working supports inte




K4S working - IP section
Line Black Dashed



K4S fold axis interpretation



S



N

N-S Gradient Array IP section through the K4S working shows a pattern strongly suggestive of recumbent folding. However it may just be an apparent dip of an F2 limb but at least it is consistent with the model. – Type II patterns as shown in schematic are created by a first phase of recumbent folding A) and a later phase of upright folding B) which creates the fold interference pattern as shown in C).

Note drill holes shown in the Ip section were drilled on the same N-S section line as the Ip. Not only were these holes drilled near parallel to interpreted F3 but also potentially subparallel to the dip of F2/ S0. Yet some intersections were still returned and as noted in our previous press release long intervals of 0.1-0.9g/t mineralisation were intersected by many drill holes suggesting that the drill line was close to a parallel zone of mineralisation.

A relatively shallow plunge (35°-45° - indicated) is good news – more in a potential open pit and potential long plunge shoots will have considerable underground strike potential.